

## CHAPTER 299C

## BUREAU OF CRIMINAL APPREHENSION

299C.091	Criminal gang investigative data system.	299C.67	Definitions.
299C.095	System for identification of juvenile offenders.	299C.69	Owner duties if manager convicted of crime.
299C.65	Criminal, juvenile information policy group.		

**299C.091 CRIMINAL GANG INVESTIGATIVE DATA SYSTEM.**

Subdivision 1. **Establishment.** The bureau shall administer and maintain a computerized criminal gang investigative data system for the purpose of assisting criminal justice agencies in the investigation and prosecution of criminal activity by gang members. The system consists of data on individuals whom law enforcement agencies determine are or may be engaged in criminal gang activity. Notwithstanding section 260B.171, subdivision 5, data on adults and juveniles in the system and data documenting an entry in the system may be maintained together. Data in the system must be submitted and maintained as provided in this section.

*[For text of subs 2 to 5, see M.S.1998]*

**History:** 1999 c 139 art 4 s 2

**299C.095 SYSTEM FOR IDENTIFICATION OF JUVENILE OFFENDERS.**

Subdivision 1. **Access.** (a) The bureau shall administer and maintain the computerized juvenile history record system based on sections 260B.171 and 260C.171 and other statutes requiring the reporting of data on juveniles. The data in the system are private data as defined in section 13.02, subdivision 12, but are accessible to criminal justice agencies as defined in section 13.02, subdivision 3a, to all trial courts and appellate courts, to a person who has access to the juvenile court records as provided in sections 260B.171 and 260C.171 or under court rule and to criminal justice agencies in other states in the conduct of their official duties.

(b) Except for access authorized under paragraph (a), the bureau shall only disseminate a juvenile adjudication history record in connection with a background check required by statute or rule and performed on a licensee, license applicant, or employment applicant or performed under section 624.713. A consent for release of information from an individual who is the subject of a juvenile adjudication history is not effective and the bureau shall not release a juvenile adjudication history record and shall not release information in a manner that reveals the existence of the record.

Subd. 2. **Retention.** (a) Notwithstanding section 138.17, the bureau shall retain juvenile history records for the time periods provided in this subdivision. Notwithstanding contrary provisions of paragraphs (b) to (e), all data in a juvenile history record must be retained for the longest time period applicable to any item in the individual juvenile history record. If, before data are destroyed under this subdivision, the subject of the data is convicted of a felony as an adult, the individual's juvenile history record must be retained for the same time period as an adult criminal history record.

(b) Juvenile history data on a child who was arrested must be destroyed six months after the arrest if the child has not been referred to a diversion program and no petition has been filed against the child by that time.

(c) Juvenile history data on a child against whom a delinquency petition was filed and subsequently dismissed must be destroyed upon receiving notice from the court that the petition was dismissed.

(d) Juvenile history data on a child who was referred to a diversion program or against whom a delinquency petition has been filed and continued for dismissal must be destroyed when the child reaches age 21.

(e) Juvenile history data on a child against whom a delinquency petition was filed and continued without adjudication, or a child who was found to have committed a felony or

gross misdemeanor-level offense, must be destroyed when the child reaches age 28. If the offender commits a felony violation as an adult, the bureau shall retain the data for as long as the data would have been retained if the offender had been an adult at the time of the juvenile offense.

(f) The bureau shall retain extended jurisdiction juvenile data on an individual received under section 260B.171, subdivision 2, paragraph (c), for as long as the data would have been retained if the offender had been an adult at the time of the offense.

(g) Data retained on individuals under this subdivision are private data under section 13.02, except that extended jurisdiction juvenile data become public data under section 13.87, subdivision 2, when the juvenile court notifies the bureau that the individual's adult sentence has been executed under section 260B.130, subdivision 5.

(h) A person who receives data on a juvenile under paragraphs (b) to (e) from the bureau shall destroy the data according to the schedule in this subdivision, unless the person has access to the data under other law. The bureau shall include a notice of the destruction schedule with all data it disseminates on juveniles.

**History:** 1999 c 139 art 4 s 2

## 299C.65 CRIMINAL, JUVENILE INFORMATION POLICY GROUP.

*[For text of subd 1, see M.S.1998]*

Subd. 2. **Report, task force.** The policy group shall file an annual report with the governor, supreme court, and chairs and ranking minority members of the senate and house committees and divisions with jurisdiction over criminal justice funding and policy by December 1 of each even-numbered year.

The report must make recommendations concerning any legislative changes or appropriations that are needed to ensure that the criminal justice information systems operate accurately and efficiently. To assist them in developing their recommendations, the chair, the commissioners, and the administrator shall appoint a task force consisting of the members of the criminal and juvenile justice information policy group or their designees and the following additional members:

- (1) the director of the office of strategic and long-range planning;
- (2) two sheriffs recommended by the Minnesota sheriffs association;
- (3) two police chiefs recommended by the Minnesota chiefs of police association;
- (4) two county attorneys recommended by the Minnesota county attorneys association;
- (5) two city attorneys recommended by the Minnesota league of cities;
- (6) two public defenders appointed by the board of public defense;
- (7) two district judges appointed by the conference of chief judges, one of whom is currently assigned to the juvenile court;
- (8) two community corrections administrators recommended by the Minnesota association of counties, one of whom represents a community corrections act county;
- (9) two probation officers;
- (10) four public members, one of whom has been a victim of crime, and two who are representatives of the private business community who have expertise in integrated information systems;
- (11) two court administrators;
- (12) one member of the house of representatives appointed by the speaker of the house;
- (13) one member of the senate appointed by the majority leader;
- (14) the attorney general or a designee;
- (15) the commissioner of administration or a designee;
- (16) an individual recommended by the Minnesota league of cities; and
- (17) an individual recommended by the Minnesota association of counties.

In making these appointments, the appointing authority shall select members with expertise in integrated data systems or best practices.

*[For text of subds 3 and 4, see M.S.1998]*

**Subd. 5. Review of funding and grant requests.** (a) The criminal and juvenile justice information policy group shall review the funding requests for criminal justice information systems from state, county, and municipal government agencies. The policy group shall review the requests for compatibility to statewide criminal justice information system standards. The review shall be forwarded to the chairs and ranking minority members of the house and senate committees and divisions with jurisdiction over criminal justice funding and policy.

(b) The policy group shall also review funding requests for criminal justice information systems grants to be made by the commissioner of public safety as provided in this section. Within the limits of available appropriations, the commissioner of public safety shall make grants for projects that have been approved by the policy group.

(c) If a funding request is for development of a comprehensive criminal justice information integration plan, the policy group shall ensure that the request contains the components specified in subdivision 6. If a funding request is for implementation of a plan or other criminal justice information systems project, the policy group shall ensure that:

(1) the government agency has adopted a comprehensive plan that complies with subdivision 6;

(2) the request contains the components specified in subdivision 7; and

(3) the request demonstrates that it is consistent with the government agency's comprehensive plan.

**Subd. 6. Development of integration plan.** (a) If a funding request is for funds to develop a comprehensive criminal justice information integration plan to integrate all systems within a jurisdiction, the requesting agency must submit to the policy group a request that contains the following components:

(1) the vision, mission, goals, objectives, and scope of the integration plan;

(2) a statement of need identifying problems, inefficiencies, gaps, overlaps, and barriers within the requesting agency's jurisdiction, including those related to current systems and interfaces, business practices, policies, laws, and rules;

(3) a list of agency heads and staff who will direct the effort and a statement demonstrating collaboration among all of the agencies involved;

(4) a statement that the integration plan would integrate all systems within the six major business functions of the criminal justice community, including incident reporting, investigation, arrest, detention, adjudication, and disposition, including postsentence supervision and treatment, and related civil, family, and human services proceedings, processes, and services, to the extent it was cost beneficial;

(5) a statement demonstrating that the requesting agency has consulted with individuals involved in day-to-day business practices, use, and operation of current criminal justice information systems so as to identify barriers and gaps;

(6) a planning methodology that will result in at least the following deliverables:

(i) an identification of problems in the state's criminal justice data model, where applicable, including data policy problems and proposed changes;

(ii) a function and process model that includes business process improvement and redesign opportunities, prioritized business change objectives, and short-term opportunities for improvement that can be pursued immediately while developing and implementing the long-range integration plan;

(iii) a technology model that includes network, communication, and security standards and guidelines;

(iv) an application architecture;

(v) a complete gap analysis that includes identification of gaps, omissions, and redundancies in the collection and dissemination of criminal justice information in the requesting agency's jurisdiction;

(vi) an assessment of current and alternative directions for business practices, applications, and technology, ranging from simple modifications to complete redesign;

(vii) a business process redesign model, showing existing and redesigned process and process vision, future performance targets, design principles, new process flow, and benefits; and

(viii) a long-range integration plan that includes time frames for the retirement, renewal, or redevelopment of systems and applications identified in clauses (i) to (vii) along with justification based on age, business processes not supported, and data deficiencies;

(7) projected timelines for developing and executing the plan;

(8) an estimate of the resources needed to develop, execute, operate, and maintain the integration plan;

(9) a statement that the final integration plan will contain all the components in this subdivision in final form;

(10) an identification of how the applicant will satisfy the match requirements of subdivision 8; and

(11) any other matters the policy group deems necessary for successful development or implementation of the integration plan and resulting systems.

(b) An agency may submit an interim integration plan to the policy group if it identifies high priority integration tasks during the development of the integration plan. The interim plan shall identify the tasks and the business case for completing these tasks in advance of completing the entire plan.

**Subd. 7. Implementation of integration plan.** If the request is for funds to implement an integration plan, the requesting agency must submit the following to the policy group:

(1) an integration plan containing the components described in subdivision 6;

(2) a description of how implementation of the integration plan will improve operation of the criminal justice system in the requesting agency's jurisdiction;

(3) an identification of how the applicant will satisfy the match requirement in subdivision 8; and

(4) a means for evaluating outcomes of the plan's implementation.

**Subd. 8. Local match.** The policy group may approve grants only if the applicant provides matching funds to pay one-half of the costs of developing or implementing the integration plan. The policy group shall adopt policies concerning the use of in-kind resources to satisfy a portion of the match requirement and the sources from which matching funds may be obtained.

**Subd. 9. Documentation and reporting requirements.** Every recipient of matching funds to develop or implement an integration plan shall submit to the policy group all requested documentation, including final plans and a report evaluating whether and how the development or implementation of the integration plan improved the operation of the criminal justice system in the requesting agency's jurisdiction. The policy group shall establish the recipient's reporting dates at the time funds are awarded.

**History:** 1999 c 216 art 2 s 14-19

## 299C.67 DEFINITIONS.

*[For text of subs 1 to 4, see M.S.1998]*

**Subd. 5. Owner.** "Owner" has the meaning given to "landlord" in section 504B.001, subdivision 7. However, "owner" does not include a person who owns, operates, or is in control of a health care facility or a home health agency licensed by the commissioner of health or human services under chapter 144, 144A, 144B, or 245A, or a board and lodging establishment with special services registered under section 157.17.

*[For text of subd 6, see M.S.1998]*

**Subd. 7. Tenant.** "Tenant" has the meaning given to "residential tenant" in section 504B.001, subdivision 12.

**History:** 1999 c 199 art 2 s 7,8

## 299C.69 OWNER DUTIES IF MANAGER CONVICTED OF CRIME.

(a) If the superintendent's response indicates that the manager has been convicted of a background check crime defined in section 299C.67, subdivision 2, paragraph (a), the owner

may not hire the manager or, if the manager was hired pending completion of the background check, shall terminate the manager's employment. Except as provided in paragraph (c), if an owner otherwise knows that a manager has been convicted of a background check crime defined in section 299C.67, subdivision 2, paragraph (a), the owner shall terminate the manager's employment.

(b) If the superintendent's response indicates that the manager has been convicted of a background check crime defined in section 299C.67, subdivision 2, paragraph (b), the owner may not hire the manager unless more than ten years have elapsed since the date of discharge of the sentence. If the manager was hired pending completion of the background check, the owner shall terminate the manager's employment unless more than ten years have elapsed since the date of discharge of the sentence. Except as provided in paragraph (c), if an owner otherwise knows that a manager has been convicted of a background check crime defined in section 299C.67, subdivision 2, paragraph (b), the owner shall terminate the manager's employment unless more than ten years have elapsed since the date of discharge of the sentence.

(c) If an owner knows that a manager hired before July 1, 1995, was convicted of a background check crime for an offense committed before July 1, 1995, the owner may continue to employ the manager. However, the owner shall notify all tenants and prospective tenants whose dwelling units would be accessible to the manager of the crime for which the manager has been convicted and of the right of a current tenant to terminate the tenancy under this paragraph, if the manager was convicted of a background check crime defined in:

(1) section 299C.67, subdivision 2, paragraph (a); or

(2) section 299C.67, subdivision 2, paragraph (b), unless more than ten years have elapsed since the sentence was discharged.

Notwithstanding a lease provision to the contrary, a current tenant who receives a notice under this paragraph may terminate the tenancy within 60 days of receipt of the notice by giving the owner at least 14 days' advance notice of the termination date.

(d) The owner shall notify the manager of any action taken under this subdivision.

(e) If an owner is required to terminate a manager's employment under paragraph (a) or (b), or terminates a manager's employment in lieu of notifying tenants under paragraph (c), the owner is not liable under any law, contract, or agreement, including liability for unemployment compensation claims, for terminating the manager's employment in accordance with this section. Notwithstanding a lease or agreement governing termination of the tenancy, if the manager whose employment is terminated is also a tenant, the owner may terminate the tenancy immediately upon giving notice to the manager. An eviction action to enforce the termination of the tenancy must be treated as a priority writ under sections 504B.321; 504B.335; 504B.345; subdivision 1; 504B.361, subdivision 2; and 504B.365, subdivision 2.

**History:** 1999 c 199 art 2 s 9