

**CHAPTER 8100**  
**DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE**  
**PROPERTY EQUALIZATION**  
**AD VALOREM TAXES; UTILITIES**

8100.0100	DEFINITIONS.
8100.0200	INTRODUCTION.
8100.0300	VALUATION.
8100.0400	ALLOCATION.
8100.0500	ADJUSTMENTS FOR NON-FORMULA-ASSESSED OR EXEMPT PROPERTY.
8100.0600	APPORTIONMENT.
8100.0700	EQUALIZATION.

**8100.0100 DEFINITIONS.**

Subpart 1. **Scope.** As used in this chapter, the following words, terms, and phrases shall have the meanings given to them by this part, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning.

Subp. 2. **Allocation.** "Allocation" means the process of dividing the unit value of a utility company among the states in which the utility operates.

Subp. 3. **Apportionment.** "Apportionment" means the process of distributing that portion of the utility company's unit value which has been allocated to Minnesota to the various taxing districts in which the utility company operates.

Subp. 3a. **Beta.** "Beta" is the measure of a stock's volatility compared with a measurement of the overall market. A beta of less than one indicates lower-than-market risk; a beta of more than one indicates a higher-than-market risk. Beta is part of the capital asset pricing model.

Subp. 4. **Book depreciation.** "Book depreciation" means the depreciation shown by a utility company on its corporate books, and allowed the company by various regulatory agencies.

Subp. 5. **Capitalization rate.** "Capitalization rate" means the relationship of income to capital investment or value, expressed as a percentage.

Subp. 5a. [Repealed, 24 SR 1106]

Subp. 5b. **Contributions in aid of construction.** "Contributions in aid of construction" is money paid to another utility, to be used directly or indirectly for the construction or acquisition of plant; or the contribution of property that is used as plant.

Subp. 5c. **Cooperative association.** "Cooperative association" includes municipal power agencies and pipelines that are not common carriers.

Subp. 6. **Electric company.** "Electric company" means any company engaged in the generation, transmission, or distribution of electric power, excluding municipal corporations.

Subp. 7. **Gas distribution company.** "Gas distribution company" means any company engaged in the distribution of natural or synthetic gas, excluding municipal corporations.

Subp. 8. MR 1989 [Repealed, 14 SR 1806]

Subp. 8. **Integrated company.** "Integrated company" means any company engaged in two or more utility operations within Minnesota, such as electric distribution and gas distribution, within the framework of one corporate structure.

Subp. 9. MR 1989 [Renumbered 8100.0100, subpart 8]

Subp. 9. **Net operating earnings.** "Net operating earnings" means earnings from the system plant of the utility after the deduction of operating expenses, depreciation, and taxes, but before any deduction for interest.

Subp. 10. MR 1989 [Repealed, 14 SR 1806]

Subp. 10. **Non-formula-assessed property.** "Non-formula-assessed property" means property of a utility which is valued by the local or county assessor rather than by the commissioner of revenue.

Subp. 11. MR 1989 [Renumbered 8100.0100, subpart 9]

Subp. 11. **Operating property.** "Operating property" means any tangible property that is owned or leased, except land, which is directly associated with the generation, transmission, or distribution of electricity, natural gas, gasoline, petroleum products, or crude oil. Examples of operating property include, but are not limited to, substations, transmission and distribution lines, generating plants, and pipelines. Property that is located on the same or contiguous parcels of land as operating property is presumed to also be operating property. Land is always nonoperating property.

Subp. 11a. **Original cost less depreciation.** "Original cost less depreciation" means the original cost of the property to the present owner, minus any depreciation attributable to the property.

Subp. 12. MR 1989 [Renumbered 8100.0100, subpart 10]

Subp. 12. **Pipeline company.** "Pipeline company" means any company engaged in the transmission of natural gas, gasoline, petroleum products, or crude oil via a fixed line of pipes.

Subp. 13. MR 1989 [Renumbered 8100.0100, subpart 11]

Subp. 13. **Qualifying construction work in progress.** "Qualifying construction work in progress" means the cost of materials and associated charges which are not yet placed in a permanent site.

Subp. 13a. **Relative risk.** "Relative risk" means the risk of a stock as measured by its beta.

Subp. 13b. **Risk-free rate.** "Risk-free rate" means the theoretical rate of return on an absolutely riskless investment, measured by long-term United States government securities.

Subp. 13c. **Risk premium.** "Risk premium" means the return over and above the risk-free rate.

Subp. 14. MR 1989 [Renumbered 8100.0100, subpart 12]

Subp. 14. **System plant.** "System plant" means the total tangible property, real and personal, of a company which is used in its utility operations in all states in which it operates.

Subp. 14a. MR 1989 [Renumbered 8100.0100, subpart 13]

Subp. 15. MR 1989 [Renumbered 8100.0100, subpart 14]

Subp. 15. **Throughput.** "Throughput" means the amount of product measured in barrels, gallons, or cubic feet which passes through a pipeline.

Subp. 16. MR 1989 [Renumbered 8100.0100, subpart 15]

Subp. 16. **Unit value.** "Unit value" means the value of the entire system plant of a utility company taken as a whole without any regard to the value of its component parts.

Subp. 17. MR 1989 [Renumbered 8100.0100, subpart 16]

Subp. 17. [Repealed, 21 SR 749]

Subp. 18. MR 1989 [Renumbered 8100.0100, subpart 17]

**Statutory Authority:** *MS s 270.06; 270.11; 270C.06*

**History:** *14 SR 1806; 21 SR 749; 24 SR 1106; L 2005 c 151 art 1 s 114; 31 SR 1317*

**Published Electronically:** *June 4, 2007*

## **8100.0200 INTRODUCTION.**

The commissioner of revenue establishes an estimate of the unit value for each utility company operating within the state. The entire system is valued utilizing data relating to the cost of the property, the earnings of the company owning or operating the property, and additional indicators of value where applicable. The resulting valuation is allocated to each state in which the utility company operates. The value of property located in Minnesota that is exempt from property tax or that is locally assessed is subtracted from the value allocated to Minnesota. Next, by the process of apportionment, the portion allocated to Minnesota is distributed to the various taxing districts within the state. The data used in the valuation, allocation, and apportionment process is drawn from reports submitted to the Department of Revenue by the utility companies. These reports include Minnesota Department of Revenue Annual Utility Reports (UTL forms), Reports to the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, Annual Reports to Shareholders, Annual Reports to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, United States Department of Agriculture, Rural Utility Service or equivalent, and other publicly available sources of information regarding rates. Periodic examinations

of the supporting data for these reports are made by the Department of Revenue. Finally, the value is equalized based on sales/assessment ratios determined by the Department of Revenue.

The commissioner of revenue reserves the right to exercise discretion whenever the circumstances of a valuation estimate dictate the need for it. Discretion may be used to ensure a balance between a prescriptive rule and sound appraisal judgment; to ensure that all relevant data pertaining to value is considered; to ensure that a reasonable estimate of market value is derived; to address concerns of predictability and stability in estimations of market value; and to ensure that utility valuation is easily understood and administered.

**Statutory Authority:** *MS s 270.06; 270.11; 270C.06*

**History:** *11 SR 635; 12 SR 58; 13 SR 394; 14 SR 1806; 15 SR 2190; 21 SR 749; 24 SR 1106; L 2005 c 151 art 1 s 114; 31 SR 1317*

**Published Electronically:** *June 4, 2007*

### **8100.0300 VALUATION.**

Subpart 1. **General.** Because of the unique character of public utility companies, the traditional approaches to valuation estimates of property (cost, capitalized income, and market) must be modified when utility property is valued. Consequently, the value of utility company property is estimated in the manner provided in this chapter.

All indicators of value must be considered to determine their validity relating to the specific property being valued. If an indicator is not demonstrated to be reliable or of value for the specific property being appraised it must not be used.

Subp. 2. [Repealed, 31 SR 1317]

Subp. 3. **Cost approach.**

A. The cost factor to be considered in the utility valuation formula is the original cost less depreciation of the system plant, plus the cost of improvements to the system plant, plus the original cost of all types of construction work in progress that are installed by the assessment date, plus the cost of property held for future use, plus the cost of contributions in aid of construction. Original cost less depreciation is presumed to be equal to historical cost less depreciation. For rate-regulated companies, the commissioner must use the same type of cost that is used in the rate base calculation.

B. The original cost of any leased operating property used by the utility must be reported to the commissioner in conjunction with the annual utility report. If the original cost of the leased operating property is not available, the commissioner shall make an estimate of the cost by capitalizing the lease payments.

C. If a conflict of opinion exists regarding the character of specific property, whether it is operating or nonoperating property, assessors or utility companies may request a determination by the commissioner.

D. Depreciation is not allowed on construction work in progress. Depreciation is allowed as a deduction from cost in the amount allowed on the accounting records of the utility company,

as such records are required to be maintained by the appropriate regulatory agency, except that depreciation may be reduced if available information indicates the amount deducted does not equal actual accrued depreciation when the current estimated remaining life is considered.

E. The following example illustrates how the cost indicator of value is computed for an electric company:

1. Utility Plant	\$ 200,000,000
2. Construction Work in Progress	\$ 5,500,000
3. Contributions in Aid of Construction	\$ 250,000
4. Leased Property	\$ 750,000
5. Total Plant	\$ 206,500,000
6. Book Depreciation	\$ 40,000,000
7. Depreciation on CIAC	\$ 10,000
8. Depreciation on Leased Property	\$ 25,000
9. Total Depreciation	\$ 40,035,000
10. Total Cost Indicator of Value	\$ 166,465,000

Subp. 4. **Income approach.** The income indicator of value is estimated by weighting the capitalized net operating earnings of the utility company for the most recent three years as follows: most recent year, 40 percent; previous year, 35 percent; and final year, 25 percent. Utilities may request the removal of nonrecurring items of income or expense. The commissioner must determine if removal of the item is appropriate. The net income is capitalized by applying a capitalization rate that is computed by using the band of investment method. This method considers:

- A. the capital structure of utilities;
- B. the cost of debt or interest rate;
- C. the yield on preferred stock of utilities;
- D. the yield on common stock of utilities; and
- E. the risk-free rate, relative risk, and risk premiums for public utility companies.

Capitalization rates are computed for electric companies, gas distribution companies, natural gas transmission systems, and fluid pipeline companies. The rates are recalculated each year using the method described in this subpart.

The following example illustrates how the income indicator of value would be computed for a gas distribution company:

	year 1	year 2	current year
1. Net Operating Income	\$ 394,000	\$ 450,000	\$ 470,000
2. Weighting Factor	25%	35%	40%
3. Weighted Income to be Capitalized	98,500	157,500	188,000
4. Capitalized Income at 9.25%	1,064,865	1,702,703	2,032,432
5. Total Income Indicator of Value			\$ 4,800,000

Subp. 4a. **Additional indicators of value.** Additional indicators of value, other than the cost and income indicators, may exist in some situations. When additional indicators of value exist, the commissioner has the discretion to use these additional indicators in computing the unit value of a utility. Additional indicators of value include, but are not limited to, the market indicator.

A. If the commissioner determines that the market indicator can be quantified, is reliable, and is indicative of value for a company, the commissioner has the discretion to adjust the weightings of the cost and income indicators to give weight to the market indicator in the unit value computation. If the market indicator is used, the weighting for the market indicator must not exceed five percent.

B. If the commissioner finds that economic or other forms of obsolescence exists, the commissioner has the discretion to adjust the weightings in the correlation process described in subpart 5 or make other adjustments in its methodology consistent with these rules and applicable statutes.

C. If the commissioner uses additional indicators of value, the commissioner must state in writing the findings that necessitate deviation from the default weightings of 50 percent for cost indicator and 50 percent for income indicator, as described in subpart 5.

Subp. 5. **Unit value computation.** The unit value of the utility company is equal to the total of the weighted indicators of value. The total weighting must equal 100 percent. The default weightings of the indicators are: market indicator, 0 percent; cost indicator, 50 percent; income indicator, 50 percent.

The following is an example of the computation of the unit value for a utility company when the market indicator has been determined to be a valid additional indicator of value:

1. Cost Indicator of Value:

$$\$5,000,000 \times 47.5\% = \$2,375,000$$

2. Income Indicator of Value:

$$\$4,800,000 \times 47.5\% = \$2,280,000$$

3. Market Indicator of Value:

$$\$5,500,000 \times 5\% = \$275,000$$

4. Unit Value of Utility Company:

$$\text{Sum of indicators} = \$4,930,000$$

Subp. 5a. **Valuation election for cooperative associations.** After assessment year 2007, cooperative associations have the option to irrevocably elect the method under which they are valued.

A. For assessment year 2007, each cooperative must be valued in the same manner as it was valued in assessment year 2006, using either the unit value method or cost less depreciation method.

B. Beginning in assessment year 2008, cooperative associations that were valued under the cost less depreciation method in assessment year 2007 may irrevocably elect to be valued using the unit value method described in subparts 1 to 5. Elections made by a cooperative association prior to November 1 of any year are effective the next assessment year. Such elections must be in a format prescribed by the commissioner.

C. Prior to November 1 of assessment year 2008, cooperative associations that were valued under the unit value method in assessment year 2007 may irrevocably elect to be valued under the cost less depreciation method. Such elections will be in a format prescribed by the commissioner. Cooperative associations that do not elect to revert back to valuation using cost less depreciation method prior to November 1 of assessment year 2008, are deemed to have irrevocably elected to be valued using the unit value method.

Subp. 6. **Cost less depreciation method of valuation for utility property of cooperatives, municipal power agencies, and pipelines that are not common carriers.** Cooperative associations may irrevocably elect to have their property valued using the unit value method described in subparts 1 to 5. Cooperative associations not electing unit valuation and other types of utilities which do not operate in the traditional profit-making mode, are not common carriers, or are nonregulated, must have their utility property valued on the basis of cost less depreciation. Elections made by a cooperative association prior to November 1 of any year are effective the next assessment year. Such elections must be in a format prescribed by the commissioner.

A. Depreciation is allowed as a deduction from the original cost in increments of 2-1/2 percent per year, but the maximum depreciation allowed must not exceed 75 percent of the cost of the utility operating property. Additions to existing utility property are depreciated 2-1/2 percent per year until they reach the 75 percent maximum. Retirements of utility property are deducted from the cost basis at the average depreciation level of all of the company's taxable property.

B. Cost less depreciation is calculated by using the following inputs: the total cost at the end of the year preceding the assessment year; total depreciation at the beginning of the year preceding the assessment year; total cost at the beginning of the year preceding the assessment year; and the original cost of property retired during the year preceding the assessment year.

Depreciation for the year is calculated by multiplying the total cost at the end of the year preceding the assessment year by 2-1/2 percent. Depreciation on retirements is calculated by dividing the total depreciation for the year preceding the assessment year by total cost at the beginning of the year preceding the assessment year. This number is then multiplied by the original cost of retirements for the year; the result is equal to the depreciation on retirements for the year.

Net depreciation for the year is calculated by adding the total depreciation at the beginning of the year preceding the assessment year and the depreciation for the year, and then subtracting the depreciation on retirements for the year. Net depreciated value for the year is equal to the total cost at the end of the year preceding the assessment year less net depreciation for the year. Net depreciated value for the assessment year is the total market value for all property owned by the company.

A company factor is calculated by dividing the net depreciated value for the assessment year by the total cost at the end of the year preceding the assessment year. The factor is multiplied by the cost of each individual parcel at the end of the year preceding the assessment year to derive the market value of each individual parcel.

C. The following example illustrates this process for an electric cooperative association electing cost less depreciation valuation under this subpart for assessment year 2006.

Cost of individual parcels on 12/31/2005 =	\$ 105,000
	\$ 520,000
	\$ 415,000
	\$ 100,000
Total cost on 12/31/2005 of all property =	\$ 1,140,000
Total depreciation on 1/1/2005 =	\$ 300,000
Total cost on 1/1/2005 =	\$ 1,100,000
Original cost of retirements in 2006 =	\$ 6,000
1. Depreciation for the assessment year 2006 (\$1,140,000 x .025) =	\$ 28,500
2. Depreciation on assessment year 2006 retirements (\$300,000 / \$1,100,000) x \$6,000 =	\$ 1,636
3. Net depreciation for the assessment year 2006 (\$300,000 + \$28,500 - \$1,636) =	\$ 326,864
4. Depreciation Limit (\$1,140,000 x .75) =	\$ 855,000



5. Net depreciated value for the assessment year 2006  
 (Total cost on 12/31/2005 - Lesser of Line 3 or Line 4)  
 (\$1,140,000 - \$326,864) = \$ 813,136

This is the market value for all property owned by the cooperative.

6. Company depreciation factor for 2006  
 (\$813,136 / \$1,140,000) = 71.327751%

7. Market value of each individual parcel
- |                            |            |
|----------------------------|------------|
| (\$105,000 x 71.327751%) = | \$ 74,900  |
| (\$520,000 x 71.327751%) = | \$ 370,900 |
| (\$415,000 x 71.327751%) = | \$ 296,000 |
| (\$100,000 x 71.327751%) = | \$ 71,300  |

Subp. 7. [Repealed, 21 SR 749]

Subp. 8. **Retirements.** Utility operating property may be retired from the utility system while still in place if certain criteria are met:

A. The property must be physically disconnected from the utility system. In the case of electrical plants, the disconnection or dismantling of wires, cables, connectors, or transformers constitutes physical disconnection. In the case of pipelines, the disconnection of pipes, valves, or fittings is evidence of physical disconnection.

B. An affidavit of retirement must be filed by the utility with the commissioner at least 30 days prior to the assessment date. This affidavit must indicate the facility being retired and the date it was taken out of service.

C. The utility must make every effort to inform the commissioner of pending major retirements. The commissioner in turn shall notify the county assessor of impending major retirements as soon as this information becomes available to the department.

D. Utility real property which is retired in place must continue to be taxed for ad valorem purposes. However, its market value is not determined on the basis of its value as utility operating property.

E. If a utility chooses to temporarily retire a facility pending the development of an alternate fuel, greater demand, increased source of supply, or another valid reason, the cost of this facility must be transferred to the appropriate regulatory agency's account entitled "Held for Future Use." Standby facilities are not considered to be temporarily retired unless their costs are carried in this account. Temporarily retired utility facilities are valued taking into account a number of factors including age of the facility, type of facility, amount of maintenance and additional costs needed to restore the facility to operational status, length of retirement, and earning potential of the facility. A temporarily retired facility must not be valued lower than if the facility were considered nonoperating utility property.

**Statutory Authority:** *MS s 270.06; 270.11; 270C.06; 273.33; 273.37; 273.38*

**History:** *7 SR 1797; 8 SR 2723; 10 SR 18; 11 SR 635; 12 SR 58; 13 SR 394; 14 SR 1806; 15 SR 2190; 21 SR 749; 24 SR 1106; L 2005 c 151 art 1 s 114; 31 SR 1317*

**Published Electronically:** *June 4, 2007*

### **8100.0400 ALLOCATION.**

Subpart 1. **General.** After the unit value of the utility property has been estimated, the portion of value which is attributable to Minnesota must be determined. Each of the factors in the allocation formula is assigned a weighted percentage to denote the relative importance assigned to that factor. The resulting sum of the weighted factors multiplied by the unit value yields the valuation of the utility property which is, after the adjustments described in part 8100.0500, subject to ad valorem tax in the state of Minnesota.

Subp. 2. **Electric companies.** The original cost of the utility property located in Minnesota divided by the total original cost of the property in all states of operation is weighted at 90 percent. Gross revenue derived from operations in Minnesota divided by gross operations revenue from all states is weighted at ten percent.

The following example illustrates this formula, assuming a unit value of \$20,000,000.

1. Minnesota Plant Cost	\$115,000,000			
		x .90	=	50.49%
2. System Plant Cost	\$205,000,000			
3. Minnesota Gross Revenue	\$40,000,000			
		x .10	=	3.8%
4. System Gross Revenue	\$105,000,000			
5. Total Percentage Allocable to Minnesota				54.29%
6. Unit Value of System Plant				\$20,000,000
7. Amount of Value Allocable to Minnesota				\$10,858,000

Subp. 3. **Gas distribution companies.** The allocation of value of gas distribution companies must be made considering the same factors as are used to determine the allocation of value of electric companies. The weight given to the original cost factor is 75 percent, and gross revenue is weighted 25 percent.

Subp. 4. **Pipeline companies.** The allocation of pipeline companies is equal to the original cost of the utility property located in Minnesota divided by the total original cost of the property in all states of operation weighted at 75 percent. Additionally, throughput of product from operations

in Minnesota divided by throughput of product from operations in all states is weighted at 25 percent.

The following example illustrates the allocation of value of property of a pipeline company and the weights given to each factor:

1. Minnesota Plant Cost	\$13,500,000			
		x .75	=	25.76%
2. System Plant Cost	\$39,300,000			
3. Minnesota Throughput (Mcf or Barrel miles)	8,940,000	x .25	=	8.01%
4. System Throughput (Mcf or Barrel miles)	27,900,000			
5. Total Percentage Allocable to Minnesota				33.76%

**Statutory Authority:** *MS s 270.06; 270C.06*

**History:** *14 SR 1806; 21 SR 749; L 2005 c 151 art 1 s 114; 31 SR 1317*

**Published Electronically:** *August 9, 2013*

#### **8100.0500 ADJUSTMENTS FOR NON-FORMULA-ASSESSED OR EXEMPT PROPERTY.**

Subpart 1. **Deduction for exempt or non-formula-assessed property.** After the Minnesota portion of the unit value of the utility company, except for electric cooperatives, is determined, any property which is non-formula-assessed or which is exempt from ad valorem tax, is deducted from the Minnesota portion of the unit value. Only that qualifying property located within the state of Minnesota may be excluded.

Subp. 2. **Valuation formula not applicable to certain utility property.** The following properties are valued by the local or county assessor and, therefore, the formula provided herein for the valuation of utility property is not applicable to such property:

- A. land;
- B. nonoperating property; and
- C. rights-of-way.

Subp. 3. **Deduction for cost of land and rights-of-way; application to nonoperating property.** The Minnesota portion of the unit value is reduced by the value included in the unit value of the company for land, rights-of-way, nonoperating property, and exempt property. This amount is calculated by determining the ratio of the unit value computed in part 8100.0300, subpart 5, to the cost less depreciation allowed in part 8100.0300, subpart 3. This ratio is multiplied by the cost less depreciation of the property to be deducted.

Subp. 4. [Repealed, 31 SR 1317]

Subp. 4a. [Repealed, 31 SR 1317]

Subp. 5. **Burden of proof and responsibility of utility company.** The utility company has the burden of proof to establish that the value of any property should be excluded from the Minnesota portion of the unit value. Accordingly, the utility company has the responsibility to submit, in the form required by the commissioner of revenue, such schedules of exempt or non-formula-assessed property as the commissioner may require.

**Statutory Authority:** *MS s 270.06; 270.11; 270C.06*

**History:** *14 SR 1806; 17 SR 1279; 24 SR 1106; L 2005 c 151 art 1 s 114; 31 SR 1317*

**Published Electronically:** *June 4, 2007*

### **8100.0600 APPORTIONMENT.**

Subpart 1. **Apportionment to taxing district.** After the unit valuation of the utility company has been allocated to the state of Minnesota and has been adjusted under part 8100.0500, the determined amount shall be apportioned or distributed to the taxing districts in Minnesota in which the company operates. This apportionment will be made by the commissioner of revenue on the basis of information submitted by the utility companies in annual reports filed with the commissioner.

Subp. 2. **Required information.** The following information must be submitted for each taxing district:

A. the original cost of the company's operating property by classification, including the cost of leased taxable property;

B. the original cost of any new additions since the last assessment, including work in progress on the assessment date; and

C. the original cost of any retirements made after the last assessment.

Subp. 3. **Required information when new taxing district established.** Whenever a new taxing district is established, the information submitted by the utility companies for the taxing district must be submitted in the same form as enumerated in subpart 2, items A to C. If the utility, because of administrative difficulty, is forced to make estimates of values and costs for property within new taxing districts, these estimates must be approved by the commissioner.

Subp. 4. **Market value of the operating utility property.** The total market value of each company's operating utility property in Minnesota shall be:

The current original cost in each taxing district as of the last assessment date plus original cost of new construction reduced by the original cost of property retired since the last assessment date. The Minnesota portion of the unit value as adjusted under this rule shall be divided by the total current original cost to determine a percentage. The resulting percentage shall be multiplied by the current original cost in each taxing district to determine the market value in each district.

Subp. 5. [Repealed, 14 SR 1806]

**Statutory Authority:** *MS s 270.06; 270C.06*

**History:** *14 SR 1806; L 2005 c 151 art 1 s 114*

**Published Electronically:** *June 4, 2007*

### **8100.0700 EQUALIZATION.**

Subpart 1. **In general.** After the apportionment of value referred to in part 8100.0600 has been made, the values of structures valued by the commissioner must be equalized to coincide with the assessment levels of commercial and industrial property within each respective county receiving a share of the apportioned utilities value. This equalization will be accomplished through the use of an assessment/sales ratio.

Subp. 2. **Assessment/sales ratio computation.** A comprehensive assessment/sales ratio study compiled annually by the sales ratio section of the Local Government Services Division of the Department of Revenue will be used in this computation. The portions of this study which will be used for purposes of this part are known as the "County Commercial and Industrial Sales Ratio."

This commercial and industrial (C & I) sales ratio is computed through an analysis of the certificates of real estate value filed by the buyers or sellers of commercial or industrial property within each county. The information contained on these certificates of real estate value is compiled pursuant to requests, standards, and methods set forth by the Minnesota Department of Revenue acting upon recommendations of the Minnesota Legislature. The most recent C & I study available will be used for purposes of this part.

The median C & I sales ratio from this County Commercial and Industrial Sales Ratio study will be used as a basis to estimate the current year C & I median ratio for each county.

The process used to estimate this current year median ratio will be as follows:

The State Board of Equalization abstract of market value will be examined. The current estimated market value of commercial and industrial property within each county will be taken from this abstract. The amount of the value of new commercial and industrial construction ("new" meaning since the last assessment period), as well as the value of commercial and industrial property which has changed classification (for example, commercial to tax exempt property) will also be taken from the abstract. The value of new construction will then be deducted from the estimated market value, resulting in a net estimated current year market value for commercial and industrial property within the county. The value of commercial and industrial property which has changed classification will be deducted from the previous years estimated market value to arrive at a net estimated previous year market value for commercial and industrial property within the county. The net current year value will be compared to the net previous year's estimated market value for commercial and industrial property within the county and the difference between the two values noted. This difference will be divided by the previous year's net estimated market value for commercial and industrial property to find the percentage of increase, or decrease, in assessment level for each year. This percent of change will be applied to the most recent C & I median ratio to estimate the current year's C & I median ratio. An example of this calculation for a typical county is shown below.

1990 E.M.V. for Commercial and Industrial Property	\$12,000,000	
Less: New Construction	1,500,000	
	<hr/>	
1990 Net E.M.V. for C & I property		\$ 10,500,000
1989 E.M.V. for C & I property	\$10,250,000	
Less: Classification changes	250,000	
	<hr/>	
1989 Net E.M.V. for C & I property		10,000,000
Difference 1989 vs 1990 E.M.V.		500,000
Percent of change (500,000/10,000,000)		5%
1989 Median C & I ratio		88%
1990 Estimated Median C & I ratio (88% x 105%)		92.4%

This same calculation is performed for each Minnesota county. If there are five or fewer valid sales of commercial and industrial property within a county during the study period, these few sales are insufficient to form the basis for a meaningful C & I ratio. Therefore, the median assessment/sales ratio to be used for purposes of the example computation in this subpart will not be the median C & I ratio but will be the weighted median ratio of all property classes within the county for which a sales ratio is available. This weighted median ratio is computed in the same manner using the same procedures and standards as the C & I ratio. In addition, the example computation in this subpart will not be performed using the commercial and industrial estimated market value but will use the estimated market value for all property within the county. All other aspects of the calculations are identical except for this substitution.

Class of Property	Amount of Value	Percent of Value	Median Ratio	Weighted Median Ratio
Residential	\$ 20,000,000	20%	86%	17.00%
Agricultural	55,000,000	55%	95%	52.25%
Seasonal - Recreational	5,000,000	5%	90%	4.50%

Commercial Industrial	20,000,000	20%	85%	17.00%
Total	\$100,000,000	100%		90.75%

Subp. 3. **Application of the estimated current year median assessment/sales ratio.** After the estimated current year median ratio has been calculated under subpart 2, it is used to adjust the apportioned estimated market value of utility structures valued by the commissioner. The value of these structures is reduced by the difference between 95 percent and the median ratio as adjusted in subpart 2. This is done by subtracting the current year median ratio, as adjusted, from the 95 percent provided for in Minnesota Statutes, section 278.05, subdivision 4, to arrive at an equalization factor. The estimated market value of utility structures is multiplied by the equalization factor to arrive at the reduction amount. The reduction amount is subtracted from the estimated market value of the utility structures to arrive at the equalized market value of structures. In no instance will any adjustment be made if, after comparing the current year median sales ratio as adjusted to the assessment level of utility structures, the difference between the two is ten percent or less. An example of this adjustment is as follows:

	County A	County B
Estimated Level of Assessment for Utility Property*	100.00%	100.00%
95 percent provided for in Minnesota Statutes, section 278.05, subdivision 4	95.00%	95.00%
County Commercial/Industrial Sales Ratio	87.00%	93.00%
Equalization Factor	8.00%	0.00%
Estimated Market Value of Structures	1,000,000	1,000,000
Reduction in Value	80,000	0
Equalized Market Value of Structures	920,000	1,000,000**

\*For purposes of this example, assume that utility property is assessed at 100 percent of market value.

\*\*No adjustment is made because the Estimated Current Year Median Sales Ratio is within ten percent of the assessment level of utility property.

All utilities operating within a particular county will be equalized at the same percentage. No adjustment for equalization will be made to machinery or personal property.

These equalized estimated market values of utility structures valued by the commissioner will be forwarded to the county assessor denoting specific utility companies and taxing districts together with personal property and machinery values pursuant to Minnesota Statutes.

**Statutory Authority:** *MS s 270.06; 270C.06*

**History:** *15 SR 2190; L 2005 c 151 art 1 s 114*

This part was repealed effective for assessment year 2017 and thereafter. L 2017 1Sp1 art 15 s 37, the effective date.

**Published Electronically:** *August 3, 2017*

**8100.0800** [Repealed, L 2014 c 308 art 9 s 94]

**Published Electronically:** *July 15, 2014*