2740.9993 EXAMPLE III.

Subpart 1. Use of actuarial equivalence test.

A. Question: Is the following plan actuarially equivalent to any Minnesota qualified plan?

Hospital: \$80 per day, 120 days, \$2,000 extras.

Surgery: \$1,500 maximum surgical schedule, add ten

percent for administration of anesthesia.

Coordination of benefits Yes, does not include no-fault

Superimposed major medical:

Deductible: \$200 corridor per calendar year

Coinsurance: 80/20

Maximum: \$250,000

Maternity: Any complications

Student dependents: No

Out-of-pocket limit: \$3,000

Excluded care: Home health care and skilled nursing care

Limits on specified benefits:

1. Room and board \$200 less basic benefits.

Unlimited days

Coordination of benefits Yes, does not include no-fault

B. Answer (calculated January 1, 1985): test result is 1147 points. This plan is a Minnesota qualified plan number two.

Subp. 2. Worksheet.

A. Test for actuarial equivalence other than Medicare supplement plans.

Major Medical

Subpart of part

2740.9964 Benefit Basic Superimposed Comprehensive

1. Hospital room and board 128 -26

2. Hospital extras 290

3. Surgery 114

4. Physician care	; nome, omce	
5. Physician care	; hospital	
6. Maternity		
7. Diagnostic X-1	ay and lab	
8. Drugs and med	dicine	
9. Radioactive th	erapy	
10. Nursing/conva	lescent facility	-13
11. Home health c	are	-6
12. Physical therap	by	
12. Oxygen		
12. Prostheses		
12. Durable medic	al equipment	
12. Second opinion	n surgery	
12. Home care nur	rsing	
12. Ambulance		
13. Hospital room	and board in full	
14. All hospital ex	penses in full	
15. Major medical	maximums	
Subtotal reasonable and co		
services	532	
16. Deductible		
16. Coinsurance		
Subtotal net of deductible		
17. Adjust (comb.	medical/dental ded.)	
18. COB/No-fault	21	
19. Limit on "out-o	of-pocket" expenses	
20. Well baby care		
21. Emergency and	d supplemental accident	
22. Student depend	dents	
2325. Superimposed	major medical	681

Grand Total	511	636	
Combined basic and superimpose	d 1147	XXX	XXX
	Equivalent to Minnesota	qualified plan number	2
		nonqualified _	
Date	By		

B. Miscellaneous calculations.

- (1) Since the room and board limit is less than the ASP factor, the number of points will equal 351 times the ratio of 80 to 220.
- (2) The \$2,000 maximum divided by 1.155 is 1731.60. This is 73.16 percent of the difference between the \$1,000 and \$2,000 maximums in the table. The points would be 217 plus .7316 times (317 217) or 290.16 points.
- (3) The surgical schedule is the same as in example II in part 2740.9992 value. The value of the table is 1840.1 for the \$1,500 maximum. The points excluding administration of anesthesia is 243 times 1840.1 divided by 4320.00 or 103.51 points. The administration of anesthesia would add 10.35 points.
- (4) The \$200 corridor deductible would be adjusted before entering part 2740.9964, subpart 24. The adjusted deductible would be 200 divided by 1.121 or 178.41. Since this is 78.41 percent of the way between the \$100 and \$200 deductibles, the points would be 740 minus .7841 times (740-665) or 681.19 points.
- (5) Home health care and skilled nursing home care are excluded. Therefore we should deduct 80 percent of their points shown in part 2740.9964, subparts 10 and 11.
- (6) Hospital room and board is limited to \$200 per day less what the basic benefit pays. The adjustment should equal .8 (363 times 20 divided by 220) or 26.4 points.

Statutory Authority: MS s 62E.09

History: 10 SR 474; L 2014 c 291 art 9 s 5

Published Electronically: August 12, 2014