

This Document can be made available in alternative formats upon request

State of Minnesota

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

EIGHTY-EIGHTH SESSION

H. F. No. 2867

03/10/2014 Authored by Persell

The bill was read for the first time and referred to the Committee on Environment and Natural Resources Policy

1.1 A bill for an act  
1.2 relating to state lands; authorizing sales of certain tax-forfeited lands.

1.3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:

1.4 Section 1. PUBLIC SALE OF TAX-FORFEITED LAND BORDERING PUBLIC  
1.5 WATER; BELTRAMI COUNTY.

1.6 (a) Notwithstanding Minnesota Statutes, sections 92.45 and 282.018, subdivision 1,  
1.7 Beltrami County may sell the tax-forfeited lands bordering public water that are described  
1.8 in paragraph (c) under the remaining provisions of Minnesota Statutes, chapter 282.

1.9 (b) The conveyance must be in a form approved by the attorney general. The attorney  
1.10 general may make changes to the land description to correct errors and ensure accuracy.

1.11 (c) The lands to be sold are located in Beltrami County and are described as:

1.12 (1) part of Government Lot 1, Section 17, Township 154 North, Range 30 West  
1.13 (PIN No. 49.00135.01);

1.14 (2) part of Government Lot 2, Section 10, Township 146 North, Range 33 West  
1.15 (PIN No. 80.00240.00);

1.16 (3) Outlot A, Lind's Addition to Bemidji, Section 2, Township 146 North, Range  
1.17 33 West (PIN No. 80.04443.00);

1.18 (4) part of the Northwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter, Section 15, Township  
1.19 146 North, Range 31 West (PIN No. 46.00208.00); and

1.20 (5) part of Government Lot 3, Section 32, Township 155 North, Range 30 West  
1.21 (PIN No. 49.00172.03).

1.22 (d) The county has determined that the county's land management interests would  
1.23 best be served if the lands were returned to private ownership.

2.1       Sec. 2. **PRIVATE SALE OF TAX-FORFEITED LAND BORDERING PUBLIC**  
2.2 **WATER; ITASCA COUNTY.**

2.3           (a) Notwithstanding Minnesota Statutes, sections 92.45 and 282.018, subdivision  
2.4 1, and the public sale provisions of Minnesota Statutes, chapter 282, Itasca County may  
2.5 sell by private sale the tax-forfeited lands bordering public water that are described in  
2.6 paragraph (c) under the remaining provisions of Minnesota Statutes, chapter 282.

2.7           (b) The conveyance must be in a form approved by the attorney general. The attorney  
2.8 general may make changes to the land description to correct errors and ensure accuracy.

2.9           (c) The lands to be sold are located in Itasca County and are described as:

2.10           (1) the Southeast Quarter of the Southeast Quarter, less 3.42 acres for the railroad  
2.11 right-of-way, Section 36, Township 145, Range 25 (PIN No. 11-236-4400); and

2.12           (2) Lot 4, less that part lying East of creek, Section 14, Township 58, Range 24  
2.13 (PIN No. 04-114-1302).

2.14           (d) The county has determined that the county's land management interests would  
2.15 best be served if the lands were returned to private ownership.

2.16       Sec. 3. **PRIVATE SALE OF TAX-FORFEITED LAND; ITASCA COUNTY.**

2.17           (a) Notwithstanding the public sale provisions of Minnesota Statutes, chapter 282,  
2.18 or other law to the contrary, Itasca County may sell by private sale the tax-forfeited land  
2.19 described in paragraph (c).

2.20           (b) The conveyance must be in a form approved by the attorney general. The attorney  
2.21 general may make changes to the land description to correct errors and ensure accuracy. The  
2.22 land must be sold for no less than its market value. The purchaser must provide a certified  
2.23 survey of the land acceptable to the county and must pay all survey and appraisal costs.

2.24           (c) The land to be sold is located in Itasca County and is described as: the West 50  
2.25 feet of the North 380 feet of the Southeast Quarter of the Southeast Quarter, Section  
2.26 19, Township 58 North, Range 24 West.

2.27           (d) The county has determined that the county's land management interests would  
2.28 best be served if the lands were returned to private ownership.