



**S.F. No. 196, as introduced - 87th Legislative Session (2011-2012) [11-1004]**

- 2.1 (i) the statutory authority;  
2.2 (ii) intended outcomes;  
2.3 (iii) the cost to state and local government and the private sector; and  
2.4 (iv) the relationship of the rule to other local, state, and federal rules;  
2.5 (2) assess the pros and cons of alternative approaches to implementing water-related  
2.6 programs, policies, and permits, including local, state, and regional-based approaches;  
2.7 (3) identify inconsistencies and redundancy between local, state, and federal rules;  
2.8 (4) identify means to coordinate rulemaking and implementation so as to achieve  
2.9 intended outcomes more effectively and efficiently;  
2.10 (5) identify a rule assessment and evaluation process for determining whether each  
2.11 identified rule should be continued or repealed; and  
2.12 (6) rely on scientific, peer-reviewed data, including the studies of the National  
2.13 Academy of Sciences.  
2.14 (c) The commissioner of administration must submit the study results and make  
2.15 recommendations to agencies listed under paragraph (a) and to the chairs and ranking  
2.16 minority party members of the senate and house of representatives committees having  
2.17 primary jurisdiction over environment and natural resources policy and finance no later  
2.18 than January 15, 2012.